

### Modeling IX

#### Introduction



- Continue Model Selection Using Shrinkage Estimation Methods
- Supplement
  - Download Rmd
  - Knit the Document
  - Extended from Last Tutorial
  - We are Beginning in Part 2
     Chunk 4
- Do You Remember What We Learned? Let Me Remind You.

#### Irrelevant Nonsense



# Watch Me Whip Watch Me Lasso

#### Recall Info



- Classic Linear Model Estimation
  - Minimize Sum of Squared Error

$$SSE = \sum [y_i - (\beta_0 + x_i' \boldsymbol{\beta})]^2$$

- Optimization: Find  $\widehat{\beta_0}$  and  $\widehat{\beta}$  that Make SSE as Small as Possible
- $\widehat{\beta_0}$  and  $\widehat{\beta}$  are Easily Found Using Matrix Representation
- Regularized Estimation
  - Produces Biased Estimates
  - Shrinks Coefficients Toward 0
  - Favors Smaller Models
  - May Lead to a Better Model for Out-of-Sample Prediction

#### **Recall Info**



Three Popular Methods
 Download R Package
 > library(glmnet)

• Penalized SSE  $PSSE = SSE + \lambda[(1 - \alpha)\sum_{i=1}^{p}\beta_i^2 + \alpha\sum_{i=1}^{p}|\beta_i|]$ 

- Variations
  - Ridge (1970):  $\lambda = 1 \& \alpha = 0$
  - Lasso (1996):  $\lambda = 1 \& \alpha = 1$
  - Elastic Net (2005)

 $\lambda = 1 \& 0 < \alpha < 1$ 

- Notice When
  - $\lambda = 0 \implies \mathsf{PSSE}\mathsf{=}\mathsf{SSE}$
  - As λ Gets Bigger, the Coefficients Approach 0

#### Next Steps



- Tuning Parameters
  - Use Cross-Validation to Choose Tuning Parameters  $\lambda \& \alpha$
  - Constraints
    - $\lambda > 0$
    - $0 \le \alpha \le 1$
  - Best Approach:
    - Divide Data Into Train & Test
    - Loop Over a Vector of Alpha
    - Find Best Lambda for Each Alpha Considered Using CV in Train
    - For Each Alpha and Best Lambda, Predict on Test and Select Alpha and Lambda that Minimize MSE

Part 2: Shrinkage Estimation and More Meditation



- Run Chunk 4
  - Illustration of 10 Fold CV
  - Finding Best Combination of Alpha and Lambda

| alpha                                | lambda    | MSE      |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|----------|--|--|--|
| 0.0                                  | 17.282127 | 176.3021 |  |  |  |
| 0.1                                  | 7.837234  | 146.4758 |  |  |  |
| 0.2                                  | 5.180181  | 139.9872 |  |  |  |
| 0.3                                  | 3.453454  | 133.7793 |  |  |  |
| 0.4                                  | 2.590091  | 130.7873 |  |  |  |
| 0.5                                  | 2.495819  | 132.6983 |  |  |  |
| 0.6                                  | 1.895081  | 129.1495 |  |  |  |
| 0.7                                  | 1.624355  | 128.1601 |  |  |  |
| 0.8                                  | 1.559887  | 129.2083 |  |  |  |
| 0.9                                  | 1.386566  | 128.5799 |  |  |  |
| 1.0                                  | 1.247909  | 128.0857 |  |  |  |
|                                      |           |          |  |  |  |
| Best: $\alpha = 1 \& \lambda = 1.25$ |           |          |  |  |  |

Part 2: Shrinkage Estimation and More Meditation



Run Chunk 5 The Top 4 Models

| ##   | alpha | lambda   | MSE      |
|------|-------|----------|----------|
| ## 1 | 0.6   | 1.895081 | 129.1495 |
| ## 2 | 0.7   | 1.624355 | 128.1601 |
| ## 3 | 0.9   | 1.386566 | 128.5799 |
| ## 4 | 1.0   | 1.247909 | 128.0857 |

- Question: How Different Are
   These Models?
- For Each Alpha & Lambda,
  - Get Final Coefficients
  - Compare Across Models
  - Compare to True Values

Part 2: Shrinkage Estimation and More Meditation



- Chunk 5 (Continued)
  - Visualizing Top Four
    - Points Show Estimates
    - Dashed Line Shows Truth





- Built-In Data > mpg
  - n=234
  - Focus is on Modeling Hwy MPG
  - Subset Data to Include Only
     Wanted Covariates

| <b>year</b><br><int></int> | displ<br><dbl></dbl> | cyl dry<br><int> <ch< th=""><th>r&gt; cty<br/><int></int></th><th>hwy f</th><th>class</th></ch<></int> | r> cty<br><int></int> | hwy f | class     |
|----------------------------|----------------------|--|-----------------------|-------|-----------|
| 1999                       | 1.8                  | 4 f  | 18                    | 29 p  | o compact |
| 1999                       | 1.8                  | 4 f  | 21                    | 29 p  | o compact |
| 2008                       | 2.0                  | 4 f  | 20                    | 31 p  | o compact |
| 2008                       | 2.0                  | 4 f  | 21                    | 30 p  | o compact |
| 1999                       | 2.8                  | 6 f  | 16                    | 26 p  | o compact |
| 1999                       | 2.8                  | 6 f  | 18                    | 26 p  | o compact |

- There are p=7 Covariates
- Difficulty
  - Fitting all Combinations
  - Considering All 2-Way
     Interaction Terms



- Run Chunk 1
  - Creating Model Matrix
    - Up to 2-Way Interactions
    - Now, p=115
  - Model Selection is Difficult
  - Dividing Data into Train & Test is Not Advised (n=234)
- Run Chunk 2
  - Only a Few Options

| alpha<br><dbl></dbl> | lambda<br><dbl></dbl> | CV.Error<br><dbl></dbl> |  |  |
|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| 0.00                 | 1.44063441            | 1.722966                |  |  |
| 0.25                 | 0.55006214            | 1.620769                |  |  |
| 0.50                 | 0.18956825            | 1.488094                |  |  |
| 0.75                 | 0.10492193            | 1.456773                |  |  |
| 1.00                 | 0.04942052            | 1.411025                |  |  |
|                      |                       |                         |  |  |

Lowest Estimation of Prediction Error



- Chunk 2 (Continued)
  - Understanding cv.glmnet Object
    - \$lambda = Contains Vector of Lambda Auto-Generated
    - \$cvm = Cross Validated Estimate of Error for Each Lambda in \$lambda
    - \$lambda.min = The Lambda that Leads to Smallest CV Measure of Error
    - \$lambda.1se = The Largest
       Value of Lambda Such That
       Error is Within 1 SD of the
       Error Using \$lambda.min



- Run Chunk 3
  - Next
    - Use Best Alpha and Lambda
    - Observe the Non-Zero
       Coefficients
    - Plot Predictions and Errors
  - Table of Non-Zero
     Coefficients
    - Before p=115
    - Now p=28

| ## | # 2 | A tibble: 29 x 2     |             |
|----|-----|----------------------|-------------|
| ## |     | Parameter            | Estimate    |
| ## |     | <chr></chr>          | <dbl></dbl> |
| ## | 1   | Int                  | -123.       |
| ## | 2   | year                 | 0.0660      |
| ## | 3   | cty                  | 0.799       |
| ## | 4   | fle                  | -1.37       |
| ## | 5   | flr                  | -0.0629     |
| ## | 6   | classpickup          | -0.104      |
| ## | 7   | classsuv             | -1.37       |
| ## | 8   | year:cyl             | -0.0000392  |
| ## | 9   | year:drvf            | 0.0000955   |
| ## | 10  | year:cty             | 0.0000565   |
| ## | 11  | year:classmidsize    | 0.0000259   |
| ## | 12  | year:classpickup     | -0.000659   |
| ## | 13  | displ:drvr           | 0.127       |
| ## | 14  | displ:classmidsize   | 0.0317      |
| ## | 15  | displ:classsuv       | -0.178      |
| ## | 16  | cyl:fle              | -0.143      |
| ## | 17  | cyl:flr              | -0.0973     |
| ## | 18  | cyl:classcompact     | 0.0462      |
| ## | 19  | cyl:classsuv         | -0.0262     |
| ## | 20  | drvf:cty             | 0.0466      |
| ## | 21  | drvr:cty             | 0.0282      |
| ## | 22  | drvf:fld             | 2.54        |
| ## | 23  | drvr:classsubcompact | -0.0754     |
| ## | 24  | cty:classminivan     | -0.0574     |
| ## | 25  | cty:classpickup      | -0.106      |
| ## | 26  | flr:classmidsize     | 0.488       |
| ## | 27  | flp:classsubcompact  | -1.42       |
| ## | 28  | fld:classsuv         | -0.552      |
| ## | 29  | flp:classsuv         | -0.431      |



Chunk 3 (Continued)
Comparing Predict and Actual





Chunk 3 (Continued)Distribution of Residuals





### • Ecdat Data > Participation

- Labor Market Participation of Married Women in Switzerland
- Data From 1981
  - 872 Married Women
  - Variables
    - Participation (Binary)
    - Non-Labor Income (log transformed)
    - Age (Scaled by 10)
    - Education (Years)
    - # of Young Children
    - # of Older Children
    - Foreigner (Binary)



Run Chunk 4

Observe the Data

|   | 1fp | Innlinc  | age | educ | nyc | noc | foreign |
|---|-----|----------|-----|------|-----|-----|---------|
| 1 | no  | 10.78750 | 3.0 | 8    | 1   | 1   | no      |
| 2 | yes | 10.52425 | 4.5 | 8    | 0   | 1   | no      |
| 3 | no  | 10.96858 | 4.6 | 9    | 0   | 0   | no      |
| 4 | no  | 11.10500 | 3.1 | 11   | 2   | 0   | no      |
| 5 | no  | 11.10847 | 4.4 | 12   | 0   | 2   | no      |
| 6 | yes | 11.02825 | 4.2 | 12   | 0   | 1   | no      |

- We Would Like to Build a Model to Predict Labor Involvement
- Method: Logistic Regression



- Run Chunk 5
  - Only a Few Options

| alpha<br><dbl></dbl> | lambda<br><dbl></dbl> | CV.Error<br><dbl></dbl> |
|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| 0.00                 | 0.32862853            | 0.3463303               |
| 0.25                 | 0.07180241            | 0.3394495               |
| 0.50                 | 0.05208650            | 0.3417431               |
| 0.75                 | 0.03810991            | 0.3405963               |
| 1.00                 | 0.03136919            | 0.3405963               |

Lowest Estimation of Prediction Error

- Notice Using Binomial Family
- What is the Purpose of the Following? type.measure="class"



- Run Chunk 6
  - Only Considering Best Choices
  - Observe the Coefficients
    - Useful Variables?
    - Useless Variables?
  - Observe the Confusion Matrix
    - Misspecification Error  $0.329 = \frac{77+210}{394+77+210+191}$
  - Write Code That Counts
    - # of Labor Participants
    - # of Predicted Participants



- Results from Paper
  - Compares In-Sample Prediction
     of Four Competing Models
  - True # of Participants
  - Predicted # of Participants

|                           | Swiss data<br>(N = 873)<br>number of<br>participants |
|---------------------------|--|
| Actual                    | 401  |
| 1. Method                 |  |
| $\Sigma 1(P_{pb} > 0.5)$  | 389  |
| $\Sigma 1(P_{snp} > 0.5)$ | 338  |
| $\Sigma 1(P_{ks} > 0.5)$  | 382  |
| $\Sigma 1(xb_{sms} > 0)$  | 355  |





## Disperse and Make Reasonable Decisions