

Baseball IV



Produced by Dr. Mario | UNC STOR 390





- Recall Evaluation of Hitter Effectiveness
 - Runs Created
 - Linear Weights
 - Both Based on Team Data
 - Scaled Player Information for Prediction
- Problem: Player Hits HR 50% of Time
 - 54 RC/G Estimated by Formula (Bill James)
 - 36.8 RC/G Estimated by Linear Weights
- Definition of Monte Carlo Simulation
 - Developing a Computer Model to Repeatedly Play Out an Uncertain Situation
 - Used Across All Industries
 - Term Coined by Polish Physicist Stanislaw Ulam
 - Simple Simulation Shows Previously Discussed Player = 27 RC/G





- Monte Carlo Simulation in R
 - Theoretical Player Either Hits a Home Run or Gets an Out

```
HR.OUT.MC=function(home.run.percent,n.Sim){
runs.result = rep(NA, n.Sim)
for(i in 1:n.Sim){
  runs=0
  outs=0
  while(outs<3){</pre>
    sample=runif(1)
    if(sample>home.run.percent){
      outs=outs+1
    }else{
      runs=runs+1
  runs.result[i]=runs
return(runs.result)
```





- Monte Carlo Simulation in R
 - Suppose Player Hits Home Run 50% of the Time







• Monte Carlo Simulation in R

• Suppose Player Hits Home Run 75% of the Time





- Simulating Runs from Team Full of Trouts
 - Possible Plate Appearances Events –
 - Long List of Assumptions
 - Errors Advance All Base Runners 1 Base
 - Long Single Advances Each Runner 2 Bases
 - Short Single Advances All Runners 1 Base
 - Short Double Advances Each Runner 2 Bases
 - Long Double Scores a Runner from First
 - Etc.
 - Assign Probabilities According to Relative
 Frequencies of Player
 - Program for Simulation

| Event |
|---------------------------------|
| Strikeout |
| Walk |
| Hit by pitch |
| Error |
| Long single (advance 2 bases) |
| Medium single (score from 2nd) |
| Short single (advance one base) |
| Short double |
| Long double |
| Triple |
| Home run |
| Ground into double play |
| Normal ground ball |
| Line drive or infield fly |
| Long fly |
| Medium fly |
| Short fly |





• Simulating Runs from Team Full of Trouts

Probabilities Based on Trout 2016 Statistics

| Outcome | Number | Probability |
|--|--------|-------------|
| Plate Appearances | 681 | |
| At Bats+ Sacrifice Hits + Sacrifice Bunts | 554 | |
| Errors | 10 | 0.0146843 |
| Outs (in Play) | 234 | 0.3436123 |
| Strikeouts | 137 | 0.2011747 |
| Walks | 116 | 0.1703377 |
| Hit by Pitch | 11 | 0.0161527 |
| Singles | 107 | 0.1571219 |
| Doubles | 32 | 0.0469897 |
| Triples | 5 | 0.0073421 |
| Home Runs | 29 | 0.0425844 |





- Simulating Runs from Team Full of Trouts
 - Probabilities of Special Cases
 - 30% of Singles are Long Singles
 - 50% of Singles are Medium Singles
 - 20% of Singles are Short Singles
 - 53.8% of Outs in Play are Ground Balls
 - 15.3% of Outs in Play are Infield Flies
 - 30.9% of Outs in Play are Fly Balls
 - Etc.
 - Result of Simulation = Within 1% of True Actual Runs Per Game
 - Specific to Trout
 - Random Number < 0.157 = Single
 - 0.157 < Random Number < (0.157+0.047) = Double
 - Goal of Simulation
 - Estimate # of Runs for Thousands of Innings
 - Average Across All Innings
 - Multiply by $\frac{26.72}{2} \approx 9$ to estimate RC/G





Results Under Simulation

| Player | Year | RC/G |
|---------|------|-------|
| Trout | 2016 | 9.38 |
| Bryant | 2016 | 7.95 |
| Cabrera | 2013 | 10.24 |
| Bonds | 2004 | 21.02 |
| | | |

Problem: Unusual # of Intentional Walks Eliminating Intentional Walks: 15.98 RC/G





• Added Value of Mike Trout To LA Angels

| Outcome | Number |
|-------------------------|--------|
| Plate Appearances | 681 |
| At Bats+ Sacrifice Hits | 554 |
| Errors | 10 |
| Outs (in Play) | 234 |
| Strikeouts | 137 |
| Walks | 116 |
| Hit by Pitch | 11 |
| Singles | 107 |
| Doubles | 32 |
| Triples | 5 |
| Home Runs | 29 |

Trout Alone

2016 Angels: 717 Runs

| Outcome | Number |
|------------------------|--------|
| Plate Appearances | 5360 |
| At Bats+Sacrifice Hits | 4962 |
| + Sacrifice Bunts | |
| Errors | 89 |
| Outs (in play) | 2782 |
| Strikeouts | 854 |
| Walks | 355 |
| HBP | 40 |
| Singles | 848 |
| Doubles | 247 |
| Triples | 15 |
| Home Runs | 127 |

Without Trout

Sim: 626 Runs





Added Value of Mike Trout To Average Team

| Outcome | Number |
|------------------------|--------|
| Plate Appearances | 6153 |
| At Bats+Sacrifice Hits | 5593 |
| + Sacrifice Bunts | |
| Errors | 101 |
| Outs (in play) | 2784 |
| Strikeouts | 1299 |
| Walks | 503 |
| HBP | 55 |
| Singles | 918 |
| Doubles | 275 |
| Triples | 29 |
| Home Runs | 187 |

Average Team

| Outcome | Number |
|-------------------------|--------|
| Plate Appearances | 681 |
| At Bats+ Sacrifice Hits | 554 |
| + Sacrifice Bunts | |
| Errors | 10 |
| Outs (in Play) | 234 |
| Strikeouts | 137 |
| Walks | 116 |
| Hit by Pitch | 11 |
| Singles | 107 |
| Doubles | 32 |
| Triples | 5 |
| Home Runs | 29 |

Trout

How Would We Simulate Average Team + Trout?





ER = Earned Run IP = Innings

- Hypothetical Pitcher Ricky Vaughn
 - Situation 1
 - Ricky Lets 2 Batters on Base
 - Next Batter Gets Single and 1 Batter Scores
 - Ricky is Charged with 1 Earned Run
 - Situation 2
 - Ricky Lets 2 Batters on Base
 - Next Batter Hits Ball to Outfielder Who Drops the Ball
 - This Unearned Run is Not Charged to Ricky
 - Recall: ERA = Earned Run Average

$$ERA = 9 \times \frac{ER}{IP}$$

• Ricky Gives Up 22 Earned Runs in 72 innings $ERA = 9 \times \frac{22}{72} = 2.75$



ER = Earned Run IP = Innings

- Problems with ERA
 - Influenced by Errors (Subjective)
 - Influenced by Relief Pitcher
 - Influenced by Fielding Performance
- Different Pitchers Evaluated Differently
 - Starting Pitchers = Wins
 - Relief Pitchers = Saves

• Past ERA to Predict Future ERA

- Why Predict Future ERA?
- Weak Relationship
- Results Based on All Pitchers Who Pitched both Seasons







- Evaluating Forecast Error
 - Mean Absolute Deviation (MAD)

$$MAD = \frac{1}{n} \times \sum_{i=1}^{n} |y_i - \hat{y}_i|$$

• From ERA Model, MAD = 0.93

- y = Current ERA \hat{y} = Forecast ERA K = Strikeout BB = Walk HBP = Hit by Pitch HR = Home Run
- Additional Measures of Pitcher Effectiveness
 - Analysis by Voros McCracken (2001)
 - Fraction of Batters Faced by Pitchers That Result in Balls in Play
 - Fraction of Balls in Play That Result in Hits •
 - Fraction of Batters Faced by Pitchers That Do Not Result in Balls in Play
 - Defense Independent Pitching Stats (DIPS)
 - K, BB, HBP, and HR
 - Independent of Teams Fielding Ability

Difficult to Predict





Defense-Independent Component ERA

• Formula

$$DICE = C + \frac{13 \times HR + 3(BB + HBP) - 2K}{IP}$$

- C is usually around 3.1
- Only DIPS Involved in Formula for DICE
- Forecast Model

 $\widehat{ERA}_t = 2.44 + 0.44 \times DICE_{t-1}$

- Correlation is 0.37 Compared to 0.34 when Last Year's ERA is Used
- MAD is 0.64 Compared to 0.93 when Last Year's ERA is Used
- Conclusion: Previous DICE is a Better Predictor of ERA than Previous ERA

- K = Strikeout BB = Walk HBP = Hit by Pitch
- HR = Home Run
- IP = Inning Pitched
- t = Time (Years)





America's Greatest Pastime





Final Inspiration

Politicians are like batters. The best do their job 1/3 of the time.

-Mahatma Mario